November 14, 2009

Mike Kerley, President Arlington County Civic Federation 703-945-8758 mike@civfed.org

Arlington County Civic Federation Comments Regarding the Parking and Curb Space Management Element

The Civic Federation's Transportation Committee began its work with County professional staff and advisory commissions in early 2009 to understand and relate the effects of the Parking and Curb Space Management Element to the Civic Federation's 82 member organizations. I want to recognize the committee members' considerable work and dedication. I also want to thank members of the County Board and the Arlington County professional staff who have helped me keep Civic Federation members informed about this plan, including its changes, over the last six months.

My analysis of the Civic Federation's study of this plan on behalf of its members is that two policy issues took the most discussion time:

- First was that the plan's language to "encourage removal of off-street neighborhood parking" could be construed in the future to force people to reduce the size of their legal-size driveway.
- Second was ensuring that enhancements to the zoned parking system could be implemented quickly enough so that spillover parking would not be a problem.

Based on a membership discussion and vote at the November 10, 2009 Civic Federation general meeting, the Civic Federation recommends approval of the Parking and Curb Space Element subject to the following five considerations:

1. PASSED (26 to 15) - Clarify and limit the scope of a proposed action item to encourage voluntary reduction of residential driveway area to only situations and neighborhoods in which there is ample, safe on-street parking available to accommodate a shift of cars to the roadway. Such encouragement of voluntary citizen action should not occur on streets where it would result in less safety, convenience or negative changes in neighborhood character.

(Note: For reference, the language in question is an "action item" for a policy of promoting on-street parking: "Encourage the removal of off-street impervious-

surface parking in excess of zoning requirements in residential areas where onstreet parking is expected to be undersubscribed.")

- 2. PASSED (25 to 11) Make clear that parking reductions in the major transportation corridors through the site plan process should be granted only in exchange for community benefits that are of equivalent value and are related to transportation infrastructure. To this end the element should recognize that most site plan zoning categories in use in the transportation corridors already contain significant parking reductions that reflect access to transit (e.g. compare site plan parking requirements with by-right C-3 parking requirements) should require that reductions be done on a case by case basis taking into account the parking needs of the building and adjacent area and transit availability, and should also recognize that increased use of transit in these corridors has a price (e.g. additional bus purchases to handle increased loads).
- **3. PASSED (37 to 3)** <u>Provide clear advance notice of variable pricing rates in effect to persons using applicable spaces.</u>
- **4. PASSED (37 to 4)** As part of the Site Plan or Use Permit Process consider the impact of new commercial and residential buildings on nearby low density residential neighborhood parking. Develop and implement measures to address the projected impact on neighborhood parking, such as expanded zoned parking or change in hours of existing zoned parking. Ensure that implementation of the residential zoned parking is prioritized by County staff such that it can be implemented very speedily after the discovery of any new overspill parking locations.
- **5. FAILED (18 to 23)** Create a new category of parking spaces that would be available both to handicapped persons and also to seniors and other designated categories of citizens. The intent of this recommendation is not to reduce parking spaces for the handicapped required under ADA provisions, but to increase the number of spaces available both to the handicapped and to other persons with physical limitations who do not meet the handicapped test. Seniors might be defined as those over 70, and other qualified persons might include women who are pregnant and parents with small children. Such spaces might be located in a section of a parking garage near an elevator, and might be subject to certain time and day limitations, such as available only weekdays before 6 p.m.
- 6. **PASSED (32 to 9)** Request a minimum of a one-month deferral prior to adoption by the County Board due to the large number changes recommended by staff subsequent to the issuance of the publicly advertised version which have not been widely disseminated where they could be adequately considered by members of the public who may wish to comment.